Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/615,381	NISHIUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard Bueker	1763
Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Richard Bueker</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr.Armstrong</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 May 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		•
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend Fig. 3 by providing it with a caption reading the drawings on page 8 of the specification.	ng "Conventional Art" in accordan	nce with the brief description of
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red 	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	by the examiner appears in F	Part II above.
·		
Kills Bul		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)